TRANSMITTAL SLIP	DATE 6 Nov 87
TO: DDA	

ROOM NO.	BUILDING

REMARKS:

EXA _____ CJ _____ 1 2 NOV 1987

ADDA _____ 1 3 NOV 1987

DDA _____ 1 6 NOV 1987

Thought you would be interested in this.

DDA\Registry

D\OC & D\OS received copies.

FROM: DD/OIT		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 56

REPLACES FORM 36–8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

5 November 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

STAT FROM: _____

        Deputy Director, Office Information Technology

      SUBJECT: National INFOSEC Steering Group Meeting,
                   29 October 1987

STAT   1. The undersigned attended the first meeting of the subject Group. A
      list of the members, a copy of the agenda, and the draft goals are attached. ____

          2. The purpose of the Group is to advise and consult on the first
      National Telecommunications and Information System Security Plan (NTISSP).
      There was much discussion at this meeting regarding the proposed authority for
STAT the plan. _____ NSA/S thought the plan should be directive rather
STAT than informative. _____ felt the plan would be signed by DIRNSA in this
      role as National Manager for NTISSC. The undersigned pointed out that there
      was insufficient representation in the Steering Group if this is an NTISSC
      effort. Gen. Hyde, OJCS, felt that NSA would have to coordinate widely with
      the NTISSC membership before the document would be accepted as directive.
      Ms. Fountaine, OSD stated concern regarding the potential resource implications
       of the plan unless NSA can assure OSD that resource needs will remain within
STAT approved profiles. _____

STAT   3. The tentative schedule is to produce a draft plan by Summer and have
      it formally approved by Oct 1988. The Steering Group will meet several times
STAT and a subordinate working group will meet monthly. _____

          4. The draft objectives were approved with minor changes. It was
      agreed to ask the working group to more precisely define the scope of goals
      such as #6, key management, where resource implications could be interpreted
STAT as open-ended. _____

STAT

STAT

6. This meeting closed with confusion regarding the authority for the plan, its relationship to the NTISSC Assessment, and the methodology to be used by the working group. It was agreed that the next Steering Group meeting should occur in December/January after the working group leaders have had a chance to organize their methodology.

STAT

STAT

STAT

cc: DDA
     D/OS
     D/OC
     C/COMSEC/OC

STAT   OS

NATIONAL INFOSEC

EXECUTIVE STEERING GROUP

STAT

NSA/Q1		301/688-7154
NSA/Q1		301/688-5168
OSD(C3I)	Ms. D. Diane Fountaine	202/695-7181
JCS	MGen John Paul Hyde, USAF	202/695-1369
CIA		
FBI	Mr. William A. Bayse	202/324-5350
NCS	Col Charles L. Gordon, USA	202/692-3762
CMC	Col W. M. Lazar, USMC	202/694-1197
NBS	Dr. Dennis Branstad	301/975-2913
DIS	Mr. J. William Leonard	202/475-0931
Treasury	Mr. J. Martin Ferris	202/566-2679
Commerce	Mr. Thomas W. Zetty	202/377-1332
NSA/S		301/688-8112
NSA/T		301/688-7726

STAT

STAT

ENCL. 1

<u>FIRST</u> <u>MEETING</u> <u>OF</u> <u>THE</u>

<u>NATIONAL</u> <u>INFOSEC</u> <u>EXECUTIVE</u> <u>STEERING</u> <u>GROUP</u>

A G E N D A

NSA Headquarters   29 October 1987
Room 2C087   0945 - 1145

STAT

I.   Opening Remarks
     * [   ]
        Deputy Director for Plans and Policy

STAT

II. Introduction of Members
     * [   ] (Chairman)
        Director of Plans

STAT

III. NSA Planning Organization
     * [   ]

STAT

IV. National INFOSEC Plan - Format and Milestones
     * [   ] (Executive)
        Chief, Operational Plans

STAT

V.   Review of Goals and Objectives
     * [   ]

STAT

ENCL. 2

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied